G.R.S. 59]

P.17

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1900-01

(S & A)

File No. 19

Serial Nos. 165

Papers Filed.

Month _____



SUBJECT.

Travelling allowance bill of Mr. G. Hume Wright, Dy Insp. of Police for November & December 1900

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	S & A Fls 33 of 01-02

(19)

2 Forwarded

Office note

The Inspector General of Police in Myanmar submits for sanction with his letter No. 3553/391 of 10th April for the travelling allee bill of Mr. G. Hume Wright, Deputy Inspector General of Police, which was returned unsanctioned by the Comptr with certain remarks.

— .. —

Mr. Hume Wright while he was in the Kolar Gold Fields was allowed in addition to his pay a Head Quarter house allee of Rs 30/- per mensem under art 56 of the Fin. Regns. and a personal allee of Rs 100/- per mensem, in consideration of the costliness of the living in

5154-5 D 15 June 1/12

(Typewritten copies put up)

[illegible] June 1/98

in the field Fields. Now
in the letter under
reference the D.S. says
that the Comptroller
thinks that the said
horse allee was sanc
tioned under art
543 of the Int. Regs.
in lieu of all travelling
allees, and that under
art 544 A Mr Hume
Wright can, under
sanction of Govt. claim
the difference between
actual travelling allee
admissible under the ordinary
Rules and twice the
daily permanent allee;
and also observes
that the horse allee
of £30 allowed to
Mr Hume Wright could
not have been sanc
-tioned under art 543
but under art 56[illegible]

3 as it was given to him when he was employed in the Kolar gold fields and had to make the sanitary inspection of the villages included in the gold fields circle, that Mr. Hume Wright's present jurisdiction extends over the whole province and Rs. 30/- can hardly represent his permanent travelling allowance, and that under art 570 he is entitled to full travelling allowance in addn. to his house allce.

i. Batta at Rs 5/- a day, mileage. 8as/- a mile, By Train. Ambulance } as per Govt Pro. No. 9507-5 of 1058 / 29th June 14.

Serial No. 61.

No Govt. order No. 5219-23 / 539 of 12th October 00 appointing Mr. Hume Wright as deputy Inspector Genl of Police says that Mr. H. W. Spl Magte and Immigr., Kolar gold fields is, as a temporary arrangement, appointed

x Serial No. 44 of File No. 24 of 1898-99.

4

Dy Inspectr Genl of Police in response on his present pay and allees viz Rs 600. plus Rs 100/- personal allee, plus Rs 31. Head Quarter House allee. Besides these, he is also allowed a house rent of Rs 100/- per mensem.

Inted for orders with copies of ext. ltrs Nos. Reps quoted in the above note.

Note that Mr Hume Wright, has left the Kolar Gold Fields where he was allowed to draw a Head Quarter House allowance of Rs 30/ per mensem for the purpose of making Sanitary inspection of the Villages included in the Gold Fields Circle there seems to be no reason why this allowance should be continued to him while at Bangalore. Like other Officers Mr Hume Wright will draw travelling allowances when he goes out from Head Quarters.

Inted to Secty for orders.

27/5/01

H B

30/5/1901

To the Dy Commissioner.

The order appoint-

~~The~~ of
ting Mr. Hume Wright
(Serial 44) expressly gives
the horse allce of
Jobs to him. S. 569 seems
to apply. But S. 570
mentions only Conveyance
allce.

Refer the Dr to
Comptr for opinion.

3/6

Put up a draft for that
before Sat

Subd with draft
Cptr 5/6/01.

Submitted.

The Comptroller whose opinion was invited, states, in his letter No: 117 dated 5 July/01, that the Conveyance allowance mentioned in Art: 570 of the Dr. S. Regns includes also Horse allowance but that in a case connected with Mr. Hume Wright's trip to Bangalore on a certain occassion, Govt: in their Pro: No: 2878-99 dated 31-8-98 P/A 223

~~being~~ ordered that under
Art: 545 which relates to
permanent or Fixed or
Monthly Tra: allce he was
not entitled to the Horse
allowance in addition
to the Tra: allce: admissible
under the Rules and that
such charges would not
be allowed in future, the
Horse allowance drawn by
Mr: Hume Wright ~~has been~~ being
treated as a permanent
Tra: allce under Art: 543
and deducted from his
Tra. allce: bills for the
days for which other Tra:
Allowances have been
claimed.

19/7/07 Filed to Secty, for orders.

19/7/1907

The previous order
quoted by the Compt- does
say that this will not
be allowed in future, but
the full Tra: allce
without deduction

was sanctioned as a Special Case. If so, disposed [illegible] may now say that under Art 570, the h.a. may be taken as not liable to deduction. Otherwise the previous order (of 1998) has to be followed. The order of appt however seems to show that the more liberal treatment was meant to be accorded.

To the 3rd Councillor

19/7

Order of 192
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

in spite of his branches from the Kalon Gold Fields is in my opinion a liberal treatment and Mr H Wright should therefore have no reason to complain

26/7 (Sd) C M.

(To day)
Issue at once
(Sd) H V Pk
27/7.

Draft Lease for approval
(Sd) P.R. 29/7/01

57 7

Am. 1491

11664 / 11-4-01. 1901 140

(10)

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

Dated 10th April 1901.

Despatched

Received

General No. 355-3

No. 391

Enclosure No. Three.

Forwarding for sanction and transmission to the Comptroller for audit, the Travelling allowance bill of the deputy Inspector General of Police for November and December 1900.

P & A
11/4/01
Recd with references.
6/5/01

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

I C h o 1

No. 3553/391. Dated. Bangalore 9th April 1901

Enc. three.

From

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for the orders of Government Copy of docket No 4183/J.a 121 dated 9th February 1901 returning the Travelling allowance bill of Mr G. Hume Wright, Deputy Inspector General of Police, for November and December 1900 on the ground that as he is given a permanent monthly horse — allowance of Rs 30/- he is not entitled to any travelling allowance under ordinary circumstances, but may claim the difference between double permanent allowance and that admissible under the Mysore Service —

Regulations, whenever the actual expenses for a duly authorized journey exceed double the amount of his permanent monthly allowance in the terms of Government Proceedings No. 6082/828 dated 25th November 1898* and with reference thereto, to submit a memo drawn up by Mr Hume Wright in the matter.

*This ought to be 1896 vide art. 544 A

The Comptroller thinks that the horse allowance of Rs 30/- was sanctioned under article 543 in lieu of all Travelling allowances and that under article 544 A, he could be permitted under sanction of Government only to claim the difference between actual travelling allowances allowable under the ordinary rules and twice the daily allowance. I beg to submit that the horse allowance could not have been sanctioned under article 543, but under article 569, because it was given to him when he was employed in the Gold fields and had to make sanitary inspections of the villages included in the Kolar Gold Fields Circle. His present jurisdiction extends over the whole Province and Rs 30/- can scarcely

Please see also S. No: 44 of File No: 24/9 of 1899.

represent the Permanent Travelling allowance. Under article 570, therefore, he would be entitled to claim the full Travelling — — allowance in addition to the Horse allowance.

The bill is accordingly forwarded herewith for Sanction and transmission to the — — Comptroller for audit.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

G Wrenwright
for Inspector General of Police

Mr

Special Audit Department

Gen. 31]

1901

(12/a) 4

From The Comptroller in Mysore

Encls 1 Bill &c

To The Inspector General of Police in Mysore

Dated 9th

Despatched 12th February 1901

Received

General No. 483

No. Jr no 121

Enclosure No. 1 Bill

Travelling allowance bill of Mr G Hume Wright, Deputy Inspector General of Police, for November and December 1900

In returning herewith the above has the honor to observe that while Mr Hume Wright is allowed a permanent monthly horse allowance of Rs 30/- which under article 543 of the Mysore Service Regulations is drawn in lieu of all other Travelling Allowance for Journeys performed within the officers' jurisdiction which

6

in his case extends over the whole Province, he is therefore not entitled to any Travelling allowance under ordinary circumstances, but may claim the difference between double permanent allowance and that admissible under the Mysore Service Regulations whenever the actual expenses for a duly authorized journey on public duty by public conveyance exceed double the amount of his permanent monthly allowance in the terms of Government Proceedings No 6082/828 of 25th November 1858.

(Sd) E.R. Subba Rayar
Comptroller.

Copy.

[illegible]
For Inspector General of Sh.

S.

Memo 10 6

With reference to comptrollers docket no 4183/121 dated 9th February 1907, returning my Trave allowance bill for November and December with the observation that I am given a permanent monthly horse allowance of Rs I am not entitled to any travelling allowan under ordinary circumstances, but may cl the difference between double permanen allowance and that admissible under Mysore Service Regulations article 574 (A) the special sanction of Government, I h the honor to state as follows :—

The horse allowance of Rs 30/- was gran to me while I was in the Gold Fields is continued to me here. I keep horses he which are absolutely necessary for m work at Head Quarters. If as pointed by the Comptroller, the double dail

llowance be deducted from the allowances
missible to me, it will be as follows:—

Daily allowance for one day — Rs 5/-
educting Rs 2/- double permanent
llowance for a day, I will draw only
3/- per day which is less than
hat I am legally entitled to viz
5/- daily batta. The sum of Rs 3/-
totally insufficient to meet my ——
penses when on circuit.

As regards the Railway fare for journeys
rail, I get double first class fare in
ses where the actual fare exceeds double
e amount of horse allowance viz Rs 2/-
inus Rs 2/- double horse allowance.
Deducting one first class fare for ——
ariage, there remains a single fare
inus Rs 2/- which is also quite
inadequate for ordinary expenses in

a Railway Journey.

Moreover, there is the further inconvenien of submitting every travelling allowan bill to Government for sanction under article 57,4 A of the Mysore Service Regulation

Under these circumstances I request th. Government may be moved to sanction th grant of Travelling Allowances admissibl under the Mysore Service Regulations irrespective of the horse allowance whic should not be treated as permanent travelling allowance

I may mention that my actu daily expense irrespective of the Rail fare to Mysore during the Viceroy's visit was Rs 5-as 12.

Bangalore.
30. April 1901

G Wrenwright
Deputy Gen. for Consrl.

11

To Confidential file
No. 16584
Dt 15/6/0

P+A - 1843

14641

14601

1901

OF M

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

12

Dated 13

Despatched June 1901

Received

General No. 4486

No. 483

Enclosure No. one

Forwarding for sanction the batta bill of the Deputy Inspector General of Police for April and May 1901

P+A

14.6.01

4

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 4486/483 DATED Bangalore 13 June 1901

1,2 2 Enc. one

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

In continuation of this office numbers 3653/391 and 3944/437 (1625) dated 10th April and 3rd May 1901, respectively, I have the honor to forward herewith duly countersigned, the Travelling allowance bill of Mr. J. Hume Wright, Deputy Inspector General of Police, for April and May 1901, and to request that early orders may be passed on the question of his Travelling allowance irrespective of the horse allowance drawn by him. The bill may be sanctioned and

forwarded

forwarded to the Comptroller for audit. I may mention that the matter has been pending since February 1901.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G Wrenwright

for Inspector General of Police.

CD.

1907. 13

(13)

To

The Comptroller

Lr No 16582 of the Govt. of Bombay
Revenue 1540

Dated 14 June/07.

Sl No 3

In forwarding herewith copy of letter No. 3553/391 of 10th April/07 from the Inspector Genl. of Police in Mysore, has the honor to observe that the Govt. order No. 5219-23/539 of 12th Oct/00 appointing Mr. Hume Wright as Deputy Inspector General of Police expressly fixes to him the horse allce of Rs 30/- a month, which was first sanctioned to him in Govt. Orn. No. 5354-5/141 of 30th June/92 as Head Quarte Horse allce under art 569 of the Regns, and to request therefore that the Comptr. will be so good as to furnish Govt. with his opinion as to whether the conveyance allce mentioned in art 570 includes the horse allce contemplated in art 569 of the said Regns to as to enable Mr. Hume Wright to claim the horse allce in addn to the conveyance allce admissible under the Rules.

B.O.

Offg

7/6/1907

Mr. 5161/1. Secretary

P+A - 56

12

DEPARTMENT

(15)

From

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Rev & Genl *Dep*

Dated 5

Despatched 8 July

Received

No. 117

No. 11

SUBJECT MATTER.

With reference to the No 1658/1540 of 14th June 1901.

Stating that the conveyance allowance mentioned in art. 570 also has been allowed but in the case of Mr. Burne Wright the Govt. discontinued as ordered in Pro. No 2898-99 93/223 in a case connected with his Bangalore that under Art 570 of the C. R. related to permanent or fixed monthly Travg. allowance he was not entitled to the horse allowance in addition to Pay &c.

17

Special audit- DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

117 Bangalore, 5th July 1901 ~~189~~

J. A. Ln. 11. 8

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General - Revenue Dept.s

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. 16584 mis 1520 dated 14th June 1901 requesting my opinion as to whether the Conveyance allowance mentioned in article 570 includes also the horse allowance contemplated in article 569 so as to enable Mr. Hume wright to claim the horse allowance in addition to other travelling allowance admissible under the rules, I have the honor to state that the Conveyance allowance mentioned in article 570 includes also horse allowance but in the case of that officer the government distinctly ordered in Pro. no. 2898-99 Pta 223 dated 31st August 1898 in a case connected with his trip to Bangalore that under article 574 of the Mysore Service Regulations which relates to Permanent or Fixed monthly travelling

allowance, he was not entitled to the horse allowance in addition to the travelling allowance admissible under the rules, and that such charges would not be allowed in future. The horse allowance drawn by that officer has therefore been treated as a Permanent travelling allowance under article 572 and deducted from his travelling allowance bills for the days for which other travelling allowances have been claimed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller

17 (17) A

Read: Letter No 3553/391 d. 10th April 1901 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore submitting for sanction, ~~under the circumstances explained~~, the travelling allce bill of Mr G. Hume Wright, by Inspector Genl of Police in Mysore for November and Decr 1900 amounting to Rs 53-12-0 which was returned unaudited by the Comptroller in Mysore on the ground that the permanent monthly horse allce of Rs 30 - sanctd to Mr Hume Wright is under art 543 of the Mysore Service Regulations drawn in lieu of all other travelling allces and that he is therefore not entitled to any travelling allce under ordinary circumstances but may

Sd Exd 5

may claim the difference between the actual travelling allce allowable under the ordinary rules and twice the daily allce ~~xxxx~~ with the sanction of Govt under sub 5-44 A.

2) Letter No 4486/483 d: 13th June 1901 from the Asst Surg for sanction the travelling allce bill of Hr G. Hume Wright for April and May 1901 and requesting early orders on the question of his travelling allce irrespective of the permanent Horse allce drawn by him.

3) Letter No 117/T.A.11 d: 5th July 1901 from the Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore Surg his opinion on the subject

Order thereon No 2153-54/PA WG 13-8-01

The Govt of India contends that the horse allce & Rs 2 p. could not have

have been sanctd under art 543 but ~~at~~ under art 569 in as much as it was given to ~~him~~ Jur[y] G. H. Wright when he was employed in the Kolar Gold Fields and had to make sanitary inspections of the villages included in the Kolar Gold Fields circle, that his present jurisdiction having extended over the whole State, Rs 30. can scarcely represent his permanent travelling allce and that therefore under art 570 of the Service Regulations, Jur Hume Wright is entitled to claim the full travelling allce in addn to the horse allce. The Govt ~~are pleased to~~ observe that ~~their~~ order No 5754-5/141. d: 30/6/92 confirming Jur Hume Wright as Special Magte K. G. Fields clearly lays down that a Hd Dr ~~a~~ Horse allce of Rs 30 p. m. is sanctd for him under art 569; but since

Price his No 2 Rs has been
charged and he has
not a large amount
of travelling at or within
a short distance from
No 2 Rs it must be
treated as a permanent
Monthly travelling allce
under art 545 as was
done on a previous
occasion as mentioned in Govt Pro: No 2858-99
PH 223
d. 31/8/98 clearly says that
such charges will not
be allowed in future and as the
continuance of the allce
in spite of his transfer
from the Kolar Gold Fields,
is, in the opinion of Govt
a liberal treatment
Mr G. Stuart Wright
should have no
reason to complain. In these
circs the travelling
allce bills received from
the I. G. of Police with the
letters read above are
returned.

Draft

29/7/1901

Mr Seitz

Nib
29/7/01
To The I. G. of Police returning the bills
& the corrs noted in margin